EPA			United	d States Environm Washin		Work Assignment Number 1-01								
				Work A		Other Amendment Number:								
Contract Number Contract Period 04/29/2011 To 03/28/							2012	2 Title of Work Assignment/SF Site Name						
EP-D-1	1-00	6	Ba	se X	Option Period Nu	mber		GHG Monito	cing Ha	andboo	k			
Contractor			•		Specify	y Section and pa	ragraph of Co							
EASTE	EASTERN RESEARCH GROUP, INC. B													
Purpose:		X Work Assig	nment		Work Assignment (Close-Out		Period of Performance						
		Work Assid	nment Amendment		Incremental Fundin	na								
	ļ	Work Plan		_		-		From 06/30/2011 To 03/28/2012						
Comments: Greenhouse Gas Monitoring Handbook This work assignment includes 300 hours for the preparation of the work plan and to begin work on the work assignment.														
	Superf	und		Acco	ounting and Appro	priations Data	a			Х	Non-Superfund			
SFO (Max 2)]	Note	To report additional ac	counting and appropri	iations date use	EPA Form 190	0-69A.						
Ž.	OCN lax 6)	Budget/FY (Max 4)	Appropriation Code (Max 6)	Budget Org/Code (Max 7)	Program Element (Max 9)	Object Class (Max 4)	Amount (D	ollars) (Cents)		Project ax 8)	Cost Org/Code (Max 7)			
1								30						
2								•						
3								<u> </u>						
4				1				•						
5				†				•						
				Aut	horized Work Assi	anment Ceilir	na				l .			
Contract Period: Cost/Fee: LOE: 0														
04/29,	/2011	To 03/28	3/2012								_			
This Action	1:							300						
											-			
Total:								300						
				Wo	rk Plan / Cost Esti	mate Approva	als							
Contractor	WP Date	d:		Cost/Fee:			LOE							
Cumulative	e Approve	d:		Cost/Fee:			LOE	:						
Work Assig	nment M	anager Name	Dennisk Mi	kel			Bra	Branch/Mail Code:						
							Pho	Phone Number 919-541-5511						
		(Signa	ture)		(Date)	— FAX	FAX Number:						
Project Off	icer Nam	e Reconst	ruct User		Notation recta	•	31 22 110	Branch/Mail Code:						
							-	Phone Number:						
(Signature) (Date)								FAX Number:						
Other Age	ncy Offici	, 1 8	,			,		nch/Mail Code:						
	, 9,000							Phone Number:						
(Signature) (Date))		FAX Number:						
Contracting	g Official		ney-Daryl	Jones	(Date	,		Branch/Mail Code:						
	TO ME AND A STATE OF THE AND A S						-	Phone Number: 919-541-3112						
	(Signature) (Date)							FAX Number:						

Statement of Work

Contract: ERG EP-D-11-006

WA: 1-01 Greenhouse Gas Monitoring Handbook

WAM: Dennis Mikel

I. BACKGROUND

The primary Greenhouse Gases (GHGs) that are directly emitted by human activities in general are those reported in EPA's annual *Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks* and include carbon dioxide (CO2), methane (CH4), nitrous oxide (N2O), hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), perfluorocarbons (PFCs) and sulfur hexafluoride (SF6). The primary effect of these gases is their influence on the climate system by trapping heat in the atmosphere that would otherwise escape to space. This heating effect (referred to as radiative forcing) is very likely to be the cause of most of the observed global warming over the last 50 years. Global warming and climate change can, in turn, affect health, society, and the environment. There also are some cases where these gases have other non-climate effects. For example, elevated concentrations of CO2 can lead to ocean acidification and stimulate terrestrial plant growth, and CH4 emissions can contribute to background levels of tropospheric ozone, a criteria pollutant. These effects can in turn be influenced by climate change in certain cases. Carbon dioxide and other GHGs can also have direct health effects but at concentrations far in excess of current or projected future ambient concentrations.

Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), perfluorocarbons (PFCs), and sulfur hexafluoride (SF₆) are potent greenhouse gases, and some persist in the environment for thousands of years. These gases, referred to as high global warming potential gases (high GWPs) are from 140-23,900 times more potent than CO₂ in terms of their capabilities to trap heat in the atmosphere over a 100-year period. Also, because they remain in the atmosphere almost indefinitely, concentrations of these gases will increase as long as emissions continue.

The purpose of this work assignment is to create a document that will be utilized by regulators and industry as a reference document on the monitoring and measurement of the GHGs. It will answer questions regarding their use, quality of the data collected and measurement uncertainty.

ERG has worked with OAQPS to compile and review the performance test data and information in support of emission and ambient sensing instruments, emission factors and regulation development.

II. TASKS

Task 1

The Contractor shall initiate and coordinate the technical activities of the staff assigned to this project. The Contractor shall provide monthly progress and resource utilization reports to the EPA work assignment contracting officer representative (WACOR) for this work assignment (WA).

It is the policy of OAQPS that within the constraints of available resources, quality assurance (QA) activities associated with environmental data operations (EDO) that involve secondary data shall be conducted to assure the data will be adequate and sufficient for their planned secondary use. Secondary data are defined as data that are utilized for a purpose other than that for which they were initially collected. No secondary data will be generated by this WA, therefore, a Quality Assurance Project Plan is not required.

Task 2

The product of this work assignment will be a draft Handbook that regulators and industry can employ when considering or operating these GHG instruments. This Handbook will also discuss potentials issues with GHG instruments and techniques for emissions factors development, models and other atmospheric process needs. The Handbook will list potential GHG sensing methods and the advantages (and disadvantages) of their use. As part of this Handbook, the Contractor shall research demonstrated GHG monitoring and provide information and assessment of any pertinent test reports.

An outline of the Handbook is attached within this WA. The Contractor shall deliver to the WACOR any sections or subsections that have been finished for initial review. EPA requires the WA be created in two phases. Phase I will consist of Section 1 (Introduction) and Section 2 (Emission Monitoring and Measurement of Flux) and be provided in final draft form by September 30, 2011. For Phase II, Section 3 (Fenceline and Background Measurements) will be provided by the contractor in final draft form by September 30, 2011. Once each subsection (e.g., 2.1 Carbon Dioxide) is reviewed, the Contractor shall incorporate the changes suggested. The WACOR will review, provide additional comments, as needed, to the Contractor, for incorporation into a final draft of the protocol.

Provisos

To ensure that there is no appearance or likelihood that Agency policies or decisions are made by non-EPA employees or that Agency policy-makers and decision-makers are not improperly influenced by recommendations presented as contract deliverables, contractors shall be required to: (a) explain and rank any recommended policy or action alternatives, (b) describe procedures used to arrive at such recommendations, (c) summarize the substance of deliberations, (d) report any dissenting views, (e) list sources relied upon, and/or (f) otherwise make clear the methods and considerations upon which the recommendations are based. WACORs and their first line supervisors will be responsible for ensuring that work assignment statements of work do not require the contractor to make policy decisions.

To the best of our knowledge, this work does not duplicate any previous or current work being done by this office.

III. SCHEDULE OF DELIVERABLES

Task	Activity	Date	Comments	
1	(a) Contractor provides work plan	Within 20 days after effective date of the WA	NA	
	(b) Provide Monthly Progress Reports	At the end of each month		
2	(a) Contractor drafts sections/subsections of the GHG Handbook	As they are finished	NA	
	(b) Provide Phase I draft	September 30, 2011		
	(c) Provide Phase II draft	September 30, 2011		

IV. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The reporting requirements are in accordance with the terms and conditions of the contract.

Appendix A – Outline of the GHG Handbook

1. Introduction

- 1.1. Concept and Scope
- 1.2. What the document is and isn't
 - 1.2.1. Target Audience and Purpose
 - 1.2.2. Relation to Other GHG Rules and Guidance
- 1.3. Quality Assurance and Quality Control
- 1.4. EPA Quality System
- 1.5. Method Strengths and Limitation Summary

2. Emission Monitoring and Measurement of Flux

2.1. Carbon Dioxide

- 2.1.1.Existing/Demonstrated Technology
 - 2.1.1.1. Technology Description
 - 2.1.1.2. How it works
 - 2.1.1.3. Other Regulatory Applications
 - 2.1.1.4. QA/QC
 - 2.1.1.5. Examples of Method Application
 - 2.1.1.6. Advantages and Limitations
- 2.1.2. Future Technologies
 - 2.1.2.1. Technology Description
 - 2.1.2.2. How it works
 - 2.1.2.3. Procedure Documentation Status (e.g., no procedure/minimal procedure/research or journal articles, draft procedure in preparation)
- 2.1.3. Gaps and Needs
- 2.1.4.References

2.2. Methane

- 2.2.1. Existing/Demonstrated Technology
 - 2.2.1.1. Technology Description
 - 2.2.1.2. How it works
 - 2.2.1.3. Other Regulatory Applications
 - 2.2.1.4. QA/QC
 - 2.2.1.5. Examples of Method Application
 - 2.2.1.6. Advantages and Limitations

2.2.2. Future Technologies

- 2.2.2.1. Technology Description
- 2.2.2.2. How it works
- 2.2.2.3. Procedure Documentation Status

- 2.2.3. Gaps and Needs
- 2.2.4.References

2.3. Nitrous Oxide

- 2.3.1.Existing/Demonstrated Technology
 - 2.3.1.1. Technology Description
 - 2.3.1.2. How it works
 - 2.3.1.3. Other Regulatory Applications
 - 2.3.1.4. QA/QC
 - 2.3.1.5. Examples of Method Application
 - 2.3.1.6. Advantages and Limitations
- 2.3.2. Future Technologies
 - 2.3.2.1. Technology Description
 - 2.3.2.2. How it works
 - 2.3.2.3. Procedure Documentation Status
- 2.3.3. Gaps and Needs
- 2.3.4.References

2.4. Fluorocarbons

- 2.4.1.Existing/Demonstrated Technology
 - 2.4.1.1. Technology Description
 - 2.4.1.2. How it works
 - 2.4.1.3. Other Regulatory Applications
 - 2.4.1.4. QA/QC
 - 2.4.1.5. Examples of Method Application
 - 2.4.1.6. Advantages and Limitations
- 2.4.2. Future Technologies
 - 2.4.2.1. Technology Description
 - 2.4.2.2. How it works
- 2.4.3. Procedure Documentation Status
- 2.4.4. Gaps and Needs
- 2.4.5.References

2.5. Sulfur Hexafluoride

- 2.5.1.Existing/Demonstrated Technology
 - 2.5.1.1. Technology Description
 - 2.5.1.2. How it works
 - 2.5.1.3. Other Regulatory Applications
 - 2.5.1.4. QA/QC
 - 2.5.1.5. Examples of Method Application
 - 2.5.1.6. Advantages and Limitations

- 2.5.2. Future Technologies
 - 2.5.2.1. Technology Description
 - 2.5.2.2. How it works
- 2.5.3. Procedure Documentation Status
- 2.5.4. Gaps and Needs
- 2.5.5.References

2.6. Flow Measurements

- 2.6.1.Existing/Demonstrated Technology
 - 2.6.1.1. Technology Description
 - 2.6.1.2. How it works
 - 2.6.1.3. Other Regulatory Applications
 - 2.6.1.4. QA/QC
 - 2.6.1.5. Examples of Method Application
 - 2.6.1.6. Advantages and Limitations
- 2.6.2. Future Technologies
 - 2.6.2.1. Technology Description
 - 2.6.2.2. How it works
- 2.6.3. Procedure Documentation Status
- 2.6.4. Gaps and Needs
- 2.6.5.References

2.7. Nitrogen Trifluoride

- 2.7.1.Existing/Demonstrated Technology
 - 2.7.1.1. Technology Description
 - 2.7.1.2. How it works
 - 2.7.1.3. Other Regulatory Applications
 - 2.7.1.4. QA/QC
 - 2.7.1.5. Examples of Method Application
 - 2.7.1.6. Advantages and Limitations
- 2.7.2. Future Technologies
 - 2.7.2.1. Technology Description
 - 2.7.2.2. How it works
- 2.7.3. Procedure Documentation Status
- 2.7.4. Gaps and Needs
- 2.7.5.References

3. Fenceline and Background Measurements

3.1. Carbon Dioxide

- 3.1.1.Existing/Demonstrated Technology
 - 3.1.1.1. Technology Description
 - 3.1.1.2. How it works

- 3.1.1.3. Other Regulatory Applications
- 3.1.1.4. QA/QC
- 3.1.1.5. Examples of Method Application
- 3.1.1.6. Advantages and Limitations
- 3.1.2. Future Technologies
 - 3.1.2.1. Technology Description
 - 3.1.2.2. How it works
- 3.1.3. Procedure Documentation Status
- 3.1.4. Gaps and Needs
- 3.1.5.References

3.2. Methane

- 3.2.1. Existing/Demonstrated Technology
 - 3.2.1.1. Technology Description
 - 3.2.1.2. How it works
 - 3.2.1.3. Other Regulatory Applications
 - 3.2.1.4. QA/QC
 - 3.2.1.5. Examples of Method Application
 - 3.2.1.6. Advantages and Limitations
- 3.2.2. Future Technologies
 - 3.2.2.1. Technology Description
 - 3.2.2.2. How it works
- 3.2.3. Procedure Documentation Status
- 3.2.4. Gaps and Needs
- 3.2.5.References

3.3. Nitrous Oxide

- 3.3.1. Existing/Demonstrated Technology
 - 3.3.1.1. Technology Description
 - 3.3.1.2. How it works
 - 3.3.1.3. Other Regulatory Applications
 - 3.3.1.4. QA/QC
 - 3.3.1.5. Examples of Method Application
 - 3.3.1.6. Advantages and Limitations
- 3.3.2. Future Technologies
 - 3.3.2.1. Technology Description
 - 3.3.2.2. How it works
- 3.3.3. Procedure Documentation Status
- 3.3.4. Gaps and Needs
- 3.3.5.References

3.4. Fluorocarbons

3.4.1.Existing/Demonstrated Technology

- 3.4.1.1. Technology Description
- 3.4.1.2. How it works
- 3.4.1.3. Other Regulatory Applications
- 3.4.1.4. QA/QC
- 3.4.1.5. Examples of Method Application
- 3.4.1.6. Advantages and Limitations
- 3.4.2. Future Technologies
 - 3.4.2.1. Technology Description
 - 3.4.2.2. How it works
- 3.4.3. Procedure Documentation Status
- 3.4.4. Gaps and Needs
- 3.4.5.References

3.5. Sulfur Hexafluoride

- 3.5.1.Existing/Demonstrated Technology
 - 3.5.1.1. Technology Description
 - 3.5.1.2. How it works
 - 3.5.1.3. Other Regulatory Applications
 - 3.5.1.4. QA/QC
 - 3.5.1.5. Examples of Method Application
 - 3.5.1.6. Advantages and Limitations
- 3.5.2. Future Technologies
 - 3.5.2.1. Technology Description
 - 3.5.2.2. How it works
- 3.5.3. Procedure Documentation Status
- 3.5.4. Gaps and Needs
- 3.5.5.References

3.6. Nitrogen Trifluoride

- 3.6.1. Existing/Demonstrated Technology
 - 3.6.1.1. Technology Description
 - 3.6.1.2. How it works
 - 3.6.1.3. Other Regulatory Applications
 - 3.6.1.4. QA/QC
 - 3.6.1.5. Examples of Method Application
 - 3.6.1.6. Advantages and Limitations
- 3.6.2. Future Technologies
 - 3.6.2.1. Technology Description
 - 3.6.2.2. How it works
- 3.6.3. Procedure Documentation Status
- 3.6.4. Gaps and Needs
- 3.6.5.References

EPA			Un	United States Environmental Protection Agency Washington, DC 20460						Work Assignment Number 1-01				
				Work Assignment					Other X Amendment Number:			ent Number:		
									000001			1		
Contract Number Contract Period 04/29/2						′29/2011 To	′2011 To 03/28/2012			Title of Work Assignment/SF Site Name				
EP-D-1	1-00	6		Base X		Option Period Nu	mber		GHG Mc	nitori	ng Han	dbo	k	
Contractor														
170	N RE	SEARCH GI	ROUP, IN	ic.										
Purpose:		Work Assig	gnment			Work Assignment (Period of Performance							
		X Work Assig	gnment Amendn	ment		Incremental Fundir	ng							
]	Work Plan	Approval						From	06/30/2	2011 To	03	/28/2012	
estimate	Comments: The work plan dated 08/19/11 has been reviewed and we concur with the labor mix, technical hours (894), ODCs, total estimated costs \$81,577 and completion date 03/28/12. The deliverable for Phase I draft is 11/30/11 and Phase II is 03/28/12. This document is IAW the CMM 7.3.5.1 (D)													
	Superf	und			Acc	ounting and Appro	priations Data	Э				Х	Non-Superfund	
SFO (Max 2)]	١	Note: To report a	dditional ad	ccounting and appropr	iations date use	EPA Form 19	00-69A.					
_	CN ax 6)	Budget/FY (Max 4)	Appropriation Code (Max		Org/Code lax 7)	Program Element (Max 9)	Object Class (Max 4)	Amount (E	Oollars)	(Cents)	Site/Pro (Max		Cost Org/Code (Max 7)	
1										24				
2										•				
3										•				
4										•				
5										•				
					Aut	horized Work Assi	gnment Ceilir	ng					•	
Contract Pe			Cost/	Fee:				LOE:	300					
	-	To 03/28	3/2012										a <u>-</u>	
This Action:								594						
Total:								-						
Total:					Wo	rk Plan / Cost Esti	imata Annray	ale	051					
Contractor \	WP Date	d:		Co.	st/Fee:	ik i lali / Cost Esti	mate Approve	LQE						
Cumulative					st/Fee:			LOE						
	4. 4		D					-						
Work Assigr	nment M	anager Name	Dennisk	Mikel					Branch/Mail Code: Phone Number 919-541-5511					
		/Ciana	4						Thomas rumbor					
S. L. Com. N. Marana I. Danahari								FAX Number:						
Project Officer Name Margaret Dougherty								Branch/Mail Code:						
								Phone Number: 919-541-2344						
Other Agen	ncv Offici	,	1416)			(Date	9		FAX Number:					
									Branch/Mail Code:					
(Signature)										none Number:				
								Branch/Mail Code:						
			1						Phone Number: 919-541-3112					
	(Signature) (Date)								FAX Number:					

EDA		United States Environmental Protection Agency Washington, DC 20460						Work Assignment Number 1-01				
EPA	Work As	Work Assignment					Other X Amendment Number:					
							000002					
Contract Number Contract Period 04/29/2011 To 03/28/2012						Title of Work Assignment/SF Site Name						
EP-D-11-006	Base X	Option Period Nur				nitori	ng Handboo	k				
Contractor	ID ING	Specify	Section and par	ragraph of Cor	tract SOW							
EASTERN RESEARCH GROUP, INC. Purpose:												
Work Assignme	=	Work Assignment C			Period of Performance							
X Work Assignme Work Plan Appr		Incremental Funding	g		From 06/30/2011 To 03/28/2012							
Comments: The purpose of this change is to add 125 hours at no additional charge to the Government. The contractor is not required to submit a revised work plan or cost estimate. This document is IAW the CMM 7.3.5.1 (D)												
Superfund	Acco	unting and Approp	oriations Data	ŕ			Х	Non-Superfund				
Superiorio			*		Λ-69Δ		Λ	Non-Superiuna				
SFO (Max 2) Note: To report additional accounting and appropriations date use EPA Form 1900-69A.												
	Appropriation Budget Org/Code Code (Max 6) (Max 7)	Program Element (Max 9)	Object Class (Max 4)	Amount (Do	ollars)	(Cents)	Site/Project (Max 8)	Cost Org/Code (Max 7)				
1												
2												
3												
4												
5												
0.1.15.1		orized Work Assiç	gnment Ceilin									
Contract Period: 04/29/2011 To 03/28/2	Cost/Fee:			LQE:	894							
This Action:	312				125							
 ij												
Total:					1,019							
	Wor	k Plan / Cost Estir	mate Approva	ıls								
Contractor WP Dated:	Cost/Fee:			LOE:								
Cumulative Approved:	Cost/Fee:			LOE:								
Work Assignment Manager Name Der	nnisk Mikel			Brar	Branch/Mail Code:							
				Pho	Phone Number 919-541-5511							
(Signature) (Date) F						FAX Number:						
Project Officer Name Margaret Dougherty Bri						Branch/Mail Code:						
	Pho	Phone Number: 919-541-2344										
(Signature)	FAX	FAX Number:										
Other Agency Official Name		Brar	Branch/Mail Code:									
	Pho	Phone Number:										
(Signature)		X Number:										
Contracting Official Name Rodney	-Daryl Jones			0	anch/Mail Code:							
					Phone Number: 919-541-3112							
(Signatura)		(Data)		I EAV	Number							